This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Dox 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/009,302	08/13/2002	Frank Lindqvist	627-1446	5942	
20736 7	590 07/24/2003				
MANELLI DENISON & SELTER			EXAMINER		
2000 M STREET NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036-3307		TIBBITS, PIA FLORENCE			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2838		
		•	DATE MAILED: 07/24/2003	DATE MAILED: 07/24/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/009,302	LINDQVIST ET AL.	(
			Art Unit				
	•	Examiner					
	- Th MAILING DATE of this communicati n	Pia F Tibbits	2838 with the correspondence address				
Period fo							
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sicons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the modulation of the province of the	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. a reply within the statutory minimum of eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) N tatute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	12/7/2001and petition gran	<u>ed 5/7/2003</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	,—	This action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disp siti	on of Claims	del Ex parte Quayre, 1950	5.5. 11, 400 0.0. 210.				
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the applica	ation.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
• •	on Papers						
,	The specification is objected to by the Exam		utho Evaminor				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a						
11) 🗆 -	Applicant may not request that any objection The proposed drawing correction filed on						
''/	If approved, corrected drawings are required		, 4.00, 2, 4.0				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
<i>'</i> —	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
,-	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationalee the attached detailed Office action for a	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a).				
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for don	nestic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application	า).			
) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don						
Attachmen							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	3) 5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/009,302

Art Unit: 2838

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in answer to the preliminary amendment filed December 7, 2001, and to the petition granted May 7, 2003.

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention.
 These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

I. Claims 1-3, 17, 18, 27, 40

II. Claims 4, 20, 28-30, 41

III. Claims 5-7, 21-23, 31-33

IV. Claims 8, 34-37

V. Claims 9, 10, 38

VI. Claims 11, 19

VII. Claim 12

VIII. Claims 13-15, 24, 25, 39, 42

IX. Claims 16, 26

2. Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

3. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Application/Control Number: 10/009,302

Art Unit: 2838

I. Claims 1-3, 17, 18, 27, and 40: method and apparatus for regeneration of lead-acid (gas producing) batteries by controlling the treatment process with pre-established process data, classified in 320/147.

II. Claims 4, 20, 28-30, 41: method and apparatus for treatment of lead-acid (gas producing) batteries by varying the current supply level, and the length of time the current supply pauses, classified in 320/155.

III. Claims 5-7, 21-23, 31-33: method and apparatus for treatment of lead-acid (gas producing) batteries by varying the current supply level, classified in 320/152.

IV. Claims 8, 34-37: method and apparatus for treatment of lead-acid (gas producing) batteries by using a treatment performed in a number of cycles of charging/discharging, classified in 320/130.

V. Claims 9, 10, 38: method and apparatus for treatment of lead-acid (gas producing) batteries performed through data processing and controlling accordingly, classified in 702/63.

VI. Claims 11, 19: method and apparatus for treatment of lead-acid (gas producing) batteries performed individually on all cells, classified in 324/426.

VII. Claim 12: method and apparatus for treatment of lead-acid (gas producing) batteries by registering the current supply periods, classified in 702/64.

VIII. Claims 13-15, 24, 25, 39, and 42: method and apparatus for treatment of lead-acid (gas producing) batteries by registering the general battery data, classified in 702/63.

IX. Claims 16, 26: method and apparatus for treatment of lead-acid (gas producing) batteries by using a network and by upgrading the software for the treatment, classified in 702/188.

The following claim(s) are generic: 1, 4, 5, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 16, 17, 19, 24, and 26.

4. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features, as described above.

Application/Control Number: 10/009,302

Art Unit: 2838

5. A telephone call was made to Mr. Jeffrey Melcher on 7/21/2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Page 4

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pia F Tibbits whose telephone number is 703/308-7305. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Sherry can be reached on 703/308-1680. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703/305-7723 for regular communications and 703/305-7723 for After Final communications.
- 8. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703/308-0956.

PFT July 21, 2003